

Haridas Chirakkal and Leah R. Gerber. 2010. Short- and long-term population response to changes in vital rates: implications for demographic population viability analysis. *Ecological Applications* 20:783–788.

Appendix A. Calculation of short-term elasticity.

We follow methods outlined in Haridas and Tuljapurkar (2007) to derive the short-term elasticities. Let the current random projection matrix in year t be $\mathbf{A}(t)$ and let this be perturbed to $\mathbf{A}(t) + \varepsilon \mathbf{C}(t)$, where ε is small. Let population growth rate in year t be $\lambda(t)$ and let $\mathbf{y}(t)$ denote age-structure vector. Then

$$\lambda(t) = |\mathbf{A}(t)\mathbf{y}(t-1)|, \quad (\text{A.1})$$

where $|\mathbf{x}|$, denotes the sum of elements of vector \mathbf{x} .

Let age-vector $\mathbf{y}(t)$ change to $\bar{\mathbf{y}}(t) = \mathbf{y}(t) + \varepsilon \mathbf{w}(t)$, as a result of perturbing projection matrix. Then $\bar{\mathbf{y}}(t)$ iterates as

$$\bar{\mathbf{y}}(t) = \frac{(\mathbf{A}(t) + \varepsilon \mathbf{C}(t))\bar{\mathbf{y}}(t-1)}{\bar{\lambda}(t)}, \quad (\text{A.2})$$

where

$$\begin{aligned} \bar{\lambda}(t) &= |(\mathbf{A}(t) + \varepsilon \mathbf{C}(t))\bar{\mathbf{y}}(t-1)| \\ &= \lambda(t) + \varepsilon \mu(t) + O(\varepsilon^2), \end{aligned} \quad (\text{A.3})$$

where

$$\mu(t) = |\mathbf{C}(t)\mathbf{y}(t-1) + \mathbf{A}(t)\mathbf{w}(t-1)|$$

and $O(\varepsilon^2)$ denotes terms of order ε^2 and more.

The elasticity in year t is computed as the proportional change

$$\begin{aligned} e(t) &= \lim_{\varepsilon \rightarrow 0} (\log \bar{\lambda}(t) - \log \lambda(t)) \\ &= \mu(t)/\lambda(t) \end{aligned}$$

$$= \frac{|\mathbf{C}(t)\mathbf{y}(t-1)|}{\lambda(t)} + \frac{|\mathbf{A}(t)\mathbf{w}(t-1)|}{\lambda(t)}. \quad (\text{A.4})$$

First component in the above equation is the short-term (single year) effect due to perturbing the projection matrix (i.e., increasing a vital rate or its mean/variance) keeping age-structure fixed at the observed value $\mathbf{y}(t-1)$ at the beginning of the year. The second component is the effect due to changes in age-structure accumulated over years. If a single vital rate is perturbed, say $A_{ij}(t)$, then first component is simply

$$e_1(t) = \frac{C_{ij}(t)y_j(t-1)}{\lambda(t)}. \quad (\text{A.5})$$

In this paper we consider two perturbations: firstly change mean without changing variance in which case $C_{ij}(t) = \mu_{ij}$, the mean of the vital rate $A_{ij}(t)$. Secondly change variance without changing mean in which case, $C_{ij}(t) = A_{ij}(t) - \mu_{ij}$. Note that $e_1(t)$ is random and changes with year but its long-run average over time converges and this limit gives the short-term stochastic elasticity discussed in the paper. Denoting this average by \bar{E}_1 , we have

$$\bar{E}_1 = \lim_{T \rightarrow \infty} \left(\frac{1}{T} \right) \sum_{t=1}^T e_1(t). \quad (\text{A.6})$$

Literature Cited

Haridas, C.V., and S. Tuljapurkar, S. 2007. Time, transients and elasticity. Ecology Letters 10:1143–1153.