

Chan, K.-S., N. C. Stenseth, K. Lekve, and J. Gjøsæter. 2002. Modeling the population dynamical effects of pulse disturbances: the algae bloom along the Norwegian Skagerrak coast in 1988. *Ecology* 00: 000-000.

Appendix A: Derivation of a fjord-based, age structured model for cod (*Gadus morhua* L.) dynamics along the Norwegian Skagerrak coast.

Derivation of Eqns (1) through (4)

We now derive equations (2a)-(2c) from (1a)-(1c). First, we generalize (1a)-(1c) by replacing $Z_{f,t}$ by $(Z_{f,t} + \lambda x_{f,t}^{(0)})$ and incorporating the covariate species in (1b) to obtain

$$X_{s,t} = (Z_{f,t} + \lambda x_{f,t}^{(0)}) \exp(\alpha_s + \alpha_t + \varepsilon_{s,t}) \quad (\text{A1a})$$

$$Y_{s,t} = X_{s,t-1} \exp(-\beta x_{s,t-1} - \gamma y_{s,t-1} + \delta w_{s,t-1}) \quad (\text{A1b})$$

$$Z_{f,t} = \sum m_t Y_{s,t-1} + \theta_t Z_{f,t-1}. \quad (\text{A1c})$$

In the log-scale, the preceding equations become

$$x_{s,t} = \log(\exp(z_{f,t}) + \lambda x_{f,t}^{(0)}) + \alpha_s + \alpha_t + \varepsilon_{s,t} \quad (\text{A2a})$$

$$y_{s,t} = (1 - \beta)x_{s,t-1} - \gamma y_{s,t-1} + \delta w_{s,t-1} \quad (\text{A2b})$$

$$z_{f,t} = \log(\sum m \exp(-F_{1,t-1} + y_{s,t-1}) + \theta \exp(-F_{2,t-1} + z_{f,t-1})), \quad (\text{A2c})$$

where $x_{s,t} = \log(X_{s,t})$, $y_{s,t} = \log(Y_{s,t})$, and $z_{f,t} = \log(Z_{f,t})$; all summations sums over all sites s in a fjord f . Note that $m_t = m \exp(-F_{1,t-1})$ and $\theta_t = \theta \exp(-F_{2,t-1})$, where the F 's denote fishing mortality. Consider the case that $\lambda=0$, $F_{1,t-1}=0$, $F_{2,t-1}=0$, and assume the simplified model is stationarity, with $\mu_{Y,s}$ and $\mu_{Z,f}$ being the stationary means of $Y_{s,t}$ and $Z_{f,t}$, respectively. Also, write $\mu_{y,s} = \log(\mu_{Y,s})$ and $\mu_{z,f} = \log(\mu_{Z,f})$. For the simplified model, taking expectation on both sides of Eq. (A1c) yields

$$\mu_{Z,f} = \Sigma_s m \mu_{Y,s} + \theta \mu_{Z,f}.$$

Hence, $(1-\theta)\mu_{Z,f} = \Sigma_s m \mu_{Y,s}$, which will be useful below. The basic idea for deriving (2a)-(2c) is to approximate the right side of (A2a)-(A2c) by a first order Taylor expansion around $\lambda=0$, $F_{1,t-1}=0$, $F_{2,t-1}=0$, $y_{s,t-1}=\mu_{y,s}$, $z_{f,t-1}=\mu_{z,f}$ and $z_{f,t}=\mu_{z,f}$. Equations (2a)-(2c) are obtained by noting that for (A2a), $\partial x_{s,t} / \partial z_{f,t} = 1$ and $\partial x_{s,t} / \partial \lambda = x_{f,t}^{(0)} / \mu_{z,f}$, where all derivatives are evaluated at $\lambda=0$, $F_{1,t-1}=0$, $F_{2,t-1}=0$, $y_{s,t-1}=\mu_{y,s}$, $z_{f,t-1}=\mu_{z,f}$ and $z_{f,t}=\mu_{z,f}$. For equation (A2c),

$$\begin{aligned} \partial z_{f,t} / \partial F_{1,t-1} &= -(\Sigma m \mu_{Y,s}) / (\Sigma_s m \mu_{Y,s} + \theta \mu_{Z,f}) = -(1-\theta), \quad \partial z_{f,t} / \partial F_{2,t-1} = -\theta \mu_{Z,f} / (\Sigma_s m \mu_{Y,s} + \theta \mu_{Z,f}) \\ &= -\theta, \quad \partial z_{f,t} / \partial y_{s,t-1} = m \mu_{Y,s} / (\Sigma_s m \mu_{Y,s} + \theta \mu_{Z,f}), \quad \text{and} \quad \partial z_{f,t} / \partial z_{f,t-1} = \theta \mu_{Z,f} / (\Sigma_s m \mu_{Y,s} + \theta \mu_{Z,f}) = \theta. \end{aligned}$$

Define $c_s = m \mu_{Y,s} / [(\Sigma_s m \mu_{Y,s} + \theta \mu_{Z,f})(1-\theta)]$. Then it can be readily checked that $\Sigma_s c_s = 1$. Hence, (A2a)-(A2c) approximately equal

$$x_{s,t} = z_{f,t} + \Delta_f x_{f,t}^{(0)} + \alpha_s + \alpha_t + \varepsilon_{s,t} \quad (2a)$$

$$y_{s,t} = (1-\beta)x_{s,t-1} - \gamma y_{s,t-1} + \delta w_{s,t-1} \quad (2b)$$

$$z_{f,t} = d_f + (1-\theta)\Sigma_s c_s y_{s,t-1} + \theta z_{f,t-1} - [(1-\theta)F_{1,t-1} + \theta F_{2,t-1}], \quad (2c)$$

where $d_f = \mu_{z,f} - (1-\theta)\Sigma_s c_s \mu_{y,s} - \theta \mu_{z,f}$ is a constant, and $\Delta_f = \lambda / \mu_{Z,f}$. Consequently, we have

$$x_{f,t} = z_{f,t} + \Delta_f x_{f,t}^{(0)} + \alpha_f + \alpha_t + \varepsilon_{f,t} \quad (3a)$$

$$y_{f,t} = (1-\beta)x_{f,t-1} - \gamma y_{f,t-1} + \delta w_{f,t-1} \quad (3b)$$

$$z_{f,t} = d_f + (1-\theta)y_{f,t-1} + \theta z_{f,t-1} - [(1-\theta)F_{1,t-1} + \theta F_{2,t-1}], \quad (3c)$$

where the last equation [Eq. (3c)] is obtained by re-labeling some of the variables in Eqs. (2) so as to make all the model-variables fjord-specific (*i.e.*, $x_{f,t} = \Sigma_s c_s x_{s,t}$ and similarly we can define $y_{f,t}$).

Next, we outline the derivation of Eq. (4). Adding to the left side of (3c) the product of γ times the lag 1 of the left side of (3c) and doing likewise to the right side of (3c)

eliminates the y 's from the equation, because $y_{f,t} + \gamma y_{f,t-1} = (1-\beta)x_{f,t-1} + \delta w_{f,t-1}$, owing to (3b). Specifically,

$$\begin{aligned}
 z_{f,t} + \gamma z_{f,t-1} &= (1+\gamma)d_f + (1-\theta)(y_{f,t-1} + \gamma y_{f,t-2}) + \theta(z_{f,t-1} + \gamma z_{f,t-2}) - [(1-\theta)(F_{1,t-1} + \gamma F_{1,t-2}) \\
 &\quad + \theta(F_{2,t-1} + \gamma F_{2,t-2})] \\
 &= (1+\gamma)d_f + (1-\theta)[(1-\beta)x_{f,t-2} + \delta w_{f,t-2}] + \theta(z_{f,t-1} + \gamma z_{f,t-2}) \\
 &\quad - [(1-\theta)(F_{1,t-1} + \gamma F_{1,t-2}) + \theta(F_{2,t-1} + \gamma F_{2,t-2})].
 \end{aligned} \tag{A3}$$

Equation (3a) implies that $z_{f,t} = x_{f,t} - (\Delta x_{f,t}^{(0)} + \alpha_f + \alpha_t + \varepsilon_{f,t})$. Upon substituting this expression into (A3), we obtain (4) after some algebra and noting that α_t is modeled as a linear combination of water temperature and the NAO:

$$\begin{aligned}
 x_{f,t} &= (\theta-\gamma)x_{f,t-1} + (\theta\gamma + (1-\theta)(1-\beta))x_{f,t-2} + (1-\theta)\delta w_{f,t-2} \\
 &\quad + \Delta x_{f,t}^{(0)} + \Delta_f(\gamma-\theta)x_{f,t-1}^{(0)} - \Delta_f\theta\gamma x_{f,t-2}^{(0)} \\
 &\quad + const_f + \varepsilon_{f,t} + (\gamma-\theta)\varepsilon_{f,t-1} - \theta\gamma\varepsilon_{f,t-2} \\
 &\quad + \{-(1-\theta)F_{1,t} + \theta F_{2,t}\} - \gamma[(1-\theta)F_{1,t-1} + \theta F_{2,t-1}] \\
 &\quad + weather_t
 \end{aligned} \tag{4}$$

where $weather_t = \eta_0 T_t + \eta_1 T_{t-1} + \eta_2 T_{t-2} + \kappa_0 nao_t + \kappa_1 nao_{t-1} + \kappa_2 nao_{t-2}$ (where further $\eta_0 = \eta$, $\eta_1 = (\gamma-\theta)\eta$ and $\eta_2 = -\gamma\theta\eta$, and $\kappa_0 = \kappa$, $\kappa_1 = (\gamma-\theta)\kappa$ and $\kappa_2 = -\gamma\theta\kappa$) and $const_f = (1+\gamma)d_f + (1-\theta)(1+\gamma)\alpha_f$.

Appendix-Table 1a: A complete list of variables and their description.

Variables	
Symbol	Description
$\varepsilon_{s,t}$	stochastic effect (white noise) on cod reproduction at site s in year t
$\varepsilon_{f,t}$	(weighted) stochastic effect (white noise) on cod reproduction in fjord f in year t
I_t	dummy variable of the 1988 bloom; equals 1 for $t=1988$ and 0 otherwise
nao_t	Northern Atlantic Oscillation (NAO) index in year t
T_t	spring water temperature in year t
$w_{s,t}$	log abundance of covariate species at site s in year t
$w_{f,t}$	(weighted) log abundance of covariate species in fjord f in year t
$x_{f,t}^{(0)}$	amount (in million) of larvae released in fjord f in year t
$X_{s,t}$	0-group cod abundance at site s (within fjord f) in year t
$x_{s,t}$	log 0-group cod abundance at site s (within fjord f) in year t
$x_{f,t}$	(weighted) log 0-group cod abundance in fjord f and in year t
$Y_{s,t}$	1-group cod abundance at site s (within fjord f) in year t
$y_{s,t}$	log 1-group cod abundance at site s (within fjord f) in year t
$y_{f,t}$	(weighted) log 1-group cod abundance in fjord f and in year t
$Z_{f,t}$	abundance of adult and mature cod in fjord f in year t
$z_{f,t}$	log abundance of adult and mature cod in fjord f in year t

Appendix-Table 1b: A complete list of parameters and their description.

Parameters	
Symbol	Description
a_0, a_1, a_2	common direct (lag1, lag2) bloom effect on the (weighted) log 0-group abundance of any given fjord
A_f	direct bloom effect on the (weighted) log 0 -group abundance in fjord f
α_s	site effect in cod reproduction
α_t	year effect in cod reproduction
ar_1, ar_2	Lag 1 and lag 2 autoregressive coefficients in equation (5)
β	within-cohort intraspecific effect
B_f	lag-1 bloom effect on the (weighted) log 0 -group abundance in fjord f
c_s	relative 1-group cod abundance at site s within fjord f
B_f	lag-2 bloom effect on the (weighted) log 0 -group abundance in fjord f
d_t	intervention effect function of the 1988 bloom
δ	covariate species effects
Δ_f	fraction of the natural spawning population size that gives rise to 1 million larvae in fjord f
η	equals η_0
η_0, η_1, η_2	water temperature effects from current year, last year and two years ago.
$F_{1,t}$	fishing mortality rate of the 1-group cod
$F_{2,t}$	fishing mortality rate of the mature cod
γ	Between-cohort intraspecific effect
κ	equals κ_0
$\kappa_0, \kappa_1, \kappa_2$	NAO effects from current year, last year and two years ago.
λ	average number of mature cod needed to spawn 1 million larvae
ma_1, ma_2	lag 1 and lag 2 moving-average coefficients in equation (5)
$\mu_{Y,s}$	stationary mean 1-group abundance at site s under the simplified (1a-1b) with no trends nor covariates
$\mu_{Y,s}$	equals $\log(\mu_{Y,s})$
$\mu_{Z,s}$	stationary mean mature cod abundance in fjord f under the simplified (1a-1b) with no trends nor covariates
$\mu_{Z,s}$	equals $\log(\mu_{Z,f})$
m_t	survival rate from the 1-group cod to 2-group
ω	annual rate of change in fishing mortality of mature cod
π	common direct bloom effect on the 1-group cod
τ	common indirect bloom effect on the 1-group cod
θ	baseline survival rate of the mature cod (in year 1970)
θ_t	survival rate of adult and mature cod
ζ	common bloom effect on the 0-group cod

Appendix-Table 2 A: Parameter estimates obtained through the model fitting assuming, for reference, no effect of the algae bloom (see Chan *et al.* 2002b); $\Delta_f = \lambda/\mu_{Z,f}$. Bold p-values represent significance at the 5% level. Larvae releases are only performed in some fjords.

Parameter	Estimate	SE	Ratio	p-value	Fjord name
ma_1	-0.13	0.105	-1.23	0.226	
ar_2	0.45	0.090	5.02	0.000	
ma_2	-0.36	0.098	-3.68	0.001	
τ_1	-0.0073	0.0019	-3.90	0.000	
k_4	-0.12	0.048	-2.53	0.015	
η_0	-0.073	0.054	-1.37	0.177	
η_1	0.098	0.056	1.75	0.086	
η_2	0.13	0.058	2.21	0.032	
κ_0	0.043	0.031	1.41	0.164	
κ_1	-0.068	0.033	-2.02	0.049	
κ_2	-0.055	0.037	-1.49	0.143	
Δ_1	0.13	0.054	2.33	0.024	Torvefjord
Δ_2	-0.041	0.030	-1.39	0.171	Topdalsfjord
Δ_3	0.036	0.017	2.13	0.038	Høvåg
Δ_4	0.045	0.014	3.30	0.002	Bufjord
Δ_5	-0.0033	0.0097	-0.34	0.735	Flødevigen
Δ_7	-0.0047	0.0086	-0.54	0.590	Sandnesfjord
Δ_8	0.021	0.018	1.21	0.234	Søndeledfjord
Δ_{11}	0.016	0.27	0.57	0.569	Kilsfjord
Δ_{16}	-0.011	0.010	-1.11	0.272	Nøtterø
Δ_{17}	0.018	0.0061	2.99	0.004	Holmestrand area
Δ_{20}	-0.0086	0.0046	-1.88	0.067	Hvaler

Appendix-Table 2 B: Parameter estimates of the ecological parameters obtained from the equating the coefficients in Eqs. (4) and (5). Bold p-values represent significance at the 5% level.

Term	Estimate	SE	Ratio	p-value
θ	0.67	0.071	9.49	Term
γ	0.54	0.11	4.77	Term
β	0.73	0.094	7.80	Term
Pollack old	-0.37	0.16	-2.35	Term